

Buy



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,214	08/01/2003	Andrew Gruber	00100.02.0058	3243
23418	7590	06/13/2005	EXAMINER	
VEDDER PRICE KAUFMAN & KAMMHOLZ			SAJOUS, WESNER	
222 N. LASALLE STREET			ART UNIT	
CHICAGO, IL 60601			PAPER NUMBER	
			2676	

DATE MAILED: 06/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/633,214	Applicant(s) GRUBER, ANDREW	
	Examiner Sajous Wesner	Art Unit 2676	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 April 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 10-26 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

This communication is responsive to the amendment and response dated 4/6/2005. Claims 1-26 are presented for examination.

Response to Arguments/Amendments

1. The amendment to the claims and specification obviate the claim objections and the 35 USC 112 second rejections set forth in the previous office action. As a result, the objections and 112 rejections are withdrawn.

With regards to the 35 USC 101 rejections of claim 1, such a rejection is maintained, because the claim as presented still fails to meet the 101 requirements. The outcome of claim 1 fails to achieve a tangible or practical result, other than calculated values. The claim merely carries out the calculations of a mathematical algorithm that are associated with pixel values. It fails to provide that the outcome of the calculations are either displayed on a display monitor and/or stored in a computer readable-medium (for further evaluation). The calculations appear to be a series of mental steps. Accordingly, the invention of claim 1, therefore, lacks utility.

As per the rejections of claim 23, these are withdrawn because the claim recites writing and reading values to and from a temporary buffer; i.e., a process that is different from carrying a series of mental steps.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

Art Unit: 2676

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention lacks patentable utility and/or useful process. Although the preamble mentions interpolation, the claimed invention fails to carry out any interpolation process. The claimed invention only carries out the generation of numbers (i.e., pixel values, geometric values, or differential values). Hence, the disclosed invention is inoperative and therefore lacks utility.

Claims 2-9 contain the limitations of claim 1 by dependence; they are, therefore, rejected under the same rationale.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 10-26 are allowed because the prior art fail to teach generating a first differential geometric value and a second differential geometric value in relation to the zero vertex value and the second vertex value, wherein the first differential geometric value and the second differential geometric value are independent of a parameter slope between the zero vertex value and the second vertex value; generating a third differential geometric value and a fourth differential geometric value in relation to the first vertex value and the second vertex value, wherein the third differential geometric value and the fourth differential geometric value are independent of a parameter slope

Art Unit: 2676

between the first vertex value and the second vertex value; writing the first geometric value and the second geometric value to a temporary buffer.


Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Wesner Sajous -WS-


June 7, 2005



MATTHEW C. BELLA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600